

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,563	08/01/2006	Ernst Ach	11596-72	4289
20694 WOLFE & SA	20694 7590 02/24/2010 WOLFF & SAMSON, P.C.		EXAMINER	
ONE BOLAND DRIVE			KRUER, STEFAN	
WEST ORANGE, NJ 07052			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/585,563	ACH, ERNST				
Lxammer-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stefan Kruer	3654				
All Participants:	Status of Application:					
(1) <u>Stefan Kruer</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Brian Hennessey</u> .	(4)	(4)				
Date of Interview: 19 February 2010	Time:					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A						
Claims discussed: 40 and 47						
Prior art documents discussed: N/A						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
/John Q. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3654						
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)				

Application No. 10/585,563

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's representative to review and propose an Examiner's Amendment to applicaths amendment of Claim 40, to ultimately recite "...wherein a spacing (X) between an outer contour of each carrier and an adjacent inclined flank surface of a respective rib is less than 17% of a pitch spacing (T) between the ribs". Furthermore, "A support apparatus" of Claims 47 - 51 was deemed as being too broad for which amended language was reviewed. Examiner directed applicant's representative's attention to prior art as listed on the enclosed PTO-892, including Garner as previously clated for pertinence to the instant invention, wherein said said potential amendment remains anticipated or obvious with respect to the broadness of Claim 47. Applicant's representative to review with response to follow in the forthcoming week.